UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 08/720,070 | 09/27/1996 | RICHARD G HYATT JR. | P53821C | 1185 |
| | 7590 04/03/200 J SHNELL & LAW FI | EXAMINER | | |
| 2029 K STREE SUITE 600 | TNW | BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO | | |
| | N, DC 20006-1004 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3673 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/03/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 08/720,070 | HYATT, RICHARD G | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Suzanne Dino Barrett | 3673 | |

| | Suzanne Dino Barrett | 3073 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | 7). | | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 March 2009. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or all Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 | 7(e)), to avoid dismiss | al of the appeal. | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | Timed Walling and period certical | arm or or it rillor(a) | • | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | v); | | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a $lpha$ | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an e | kplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. | , | | | | | | | |
| | /Suzanne Dino Barrett/ Primary Examiner, Art U | | | | | | | |
| | · ···································· | 0070 | | | | | | |